

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the present application. The Office Action, however, has tentatively rejected all claims 1-30. In response to the rejections, Applicant has amended claims 1-2, 7, 11-12, 17, 21, 23-24, and 28, and claim 22 has been canceled. The limitations added to amended claims 1, 11, and 21 are supported by page 4 in the detailed description. Accordingly, no new matter has been added. Applicant submits that claims 1-21 and 23-30 are clearly in condition for allowance, as will be discussed below.

Discussion of Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Office Action rejected claims 1-20 and 22-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In response, Applicant has amended the claims to clarify or eliminate the confusion between the phrases “a data record” and “the data records.” Accordingly, Applicant submits that the rejections be withdrawn.

Discussion of Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,978,262 B2 by Tsai (referred to as “Tsai” hereinafter). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration for at least the following reasons.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite:

1. A system of data management for a plurality of correlated data records, comprising:
a data verification module, verifying the data records according to the data correlations
and producing a plurality of verification results, each of the verification results
corresponds to one of the data records;
- a data notation module, coupled to the data verification module, noting the verification
results in the corresponding data records; and
- a data management module, coupled to the data notation module, managing the data
records according to the verification results,

wherein each of the verification results includes one of valid and invalid, each of the verification result includes valid for the corresponding data record when the corresponding data record can be applied to a data application module and each of the verification result includes invalid for the corresponding data record when the corresponding data record can not be applied to the data application module.

Tsai teaches nothing about *each of the verification results including one of valid and invalid, the verification result includes valid for the corresponding data record when the corresponding data record can be applied to a data application module and the verification result includes invalid for the corresponding data record when the corresponding data record can not be applied to the data application module*. For at least this reason, claim 1 defines over Tsai. Insofar as claims 2-10 depend from claim 1, these claims patently define over Tsai for at least the same reasons.

Independent claims 11 and 21 have been amended to include a limitation similar to the limitation added to claim 1. Therefore, independent claims 11 and 21 patently define over Tsai for the same reason. Insofar as claims 12-20 and 23-30 depend from claims 11 and 21, these claims patently define over Tsai for at least the same reasons.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner's reconsideration of the application and the timely allowance of instant claims 1-30.

Conclusion

For the reasons as described above, all claims are in condition for allowance, and withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims, as now amended, are respectfully requested. Applicant has made every effort to place the present application in condition for allowance. It is therefore earnestly requested that the present application, as a whole, receive favorable consideration and that all of the claims be allowed in their present form.

Should Examiner feel that further discussion of the application and the Amendment is conducive to prosecution and allowance thereof, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at the address and telephone listed below.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this amendment and response to Office Action. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Daniel R. McClure
Registration No. 38,962

Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339
770-933-9500